TEACHING ENGLISH TO THE STUDENTS OF NON-PHILOLOGICAL ISTITUTIONS

Rizayeva Dilyayra Shavkatovna.

Tashkent state agrarian university. drshsss@mail.ru

Abstract: In an increasingly globalized world, English language competence is indispensable, extending well beyond the confines of traditional philological or language-focused institutions. Non-philological institutions—encompassing engineering, medical, business, and other professional domains—recognize the importance of English proficiency for their graduates. This paper explores the unique challenges, methodologies, and outcomes of teaching English in such contexts. The study draws on current and past research related to English for Specific Purposes (ESP), teaching methodologies, learner motivation, and curriculum design. The results underscore the need for integrative and discipline-relevant language instruction, as well as ongoing collaboration between language specialists and subject-matter experts. Recommendations include adopting a flexible curriculum responsive to rapidly evolving professional landscapes and leveraging technology-enhanced tools to foster both linguistic competence and intercultural awareness.

Key words: Non-philological institutions, English for Specific Purposes (ESP), language teaching, curriculum design, learner motivation, professional communication, intercultural competence

INTRODUCTION:

English has long held the status of a global lingua franca, with a pivotal role in cross-cultural communication, international business, and academic discourse. While language acquisition has traditionally been associated with philological or linguistics-oriented programs, a growing number of non-philological institutions now recognize

that English proficiency is a critical skill for professional success [1]. As such, many universities and colleges with a primary focus on engineering, science, economics, medicine, and other applied fields have integrated English language courses into their curricula.

Yet, the distinct learning needs and objectives of students in non-philological programs—such as engineering undergraduates preparing to present research findings in English, or medical students requiring the ability to read scientific journals—call for specialized instructional approaches. Language instructors face the dual challenge of addressing fundamental language competencies while also tailoring content to specific professional contexts [2]. This paper examines these challenges and highlights the value of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) and other learner-centered methodologies designed to meet the specialized language needs of non-philological students.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Research on English language teaching (ELT) in non-philological institutions has evolved alongside shifts in educational paradigms, technological advancements, and the changing demands of global industries. One of the most influential frameworks in language education is the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR), which emphasizes communicative competence across speaking, listening, reading, and writing [3]. Although the CEFR predominantly addresses general language proficiency, its can-do descriptors have inspired the adaptation of discipline-specific outcomes for students of engineering, sciences, and business programs.

A critical body of research focuses on English for Specific Purposes (ESP). According to Dudley-Evans and St. John, ESP involves customizing language instruction to meet the precise communicative needs of specific learner groups, such

as future engineers or healthcare professionals [4]. Belcher's survey of trends in ESP underscores the growing demand for courses that integrate authentic materials from students' fields, thereby enabling them to bridge the gap between classroom and professional environments [5]. The success of ESP programs often hinges on close collaboration between language instructors and subject matter experts, ensuring that the language tasks and materials align closely with real-world applications.

Studies on student motivation in ESP contexts reveal that when learners perceive a direct link between the language classroom and their academic or professional goals, motivation and engagement markedly increase [6]. Moreover, technology-enhanced language learning—through learning management systems, virtual simulations, and specialized online platforms—has been shown to amplify the authenticity of learning tasks and provide access to global communities of practice [7]. This approach allows non-philological students to develop not only linguistic proficiency but also the intercultural competence required to navigate a diverse, global working environment.

DISCUSSION:

1. Challenges in Teaching English to Non-Philological Students

One of the foremost challenges in teaching English to students of non-philological institutions is the divergence between learner motivation and course requirements. Students in engineering, medicine, or economics may perceive language courses as secondary to their core disciplines, resulting in lower engagement levels if the content is viewed as irrelevant to their future careers. Additionally, limited course hours can restrict the depth and breadth of language coverage, particularly where institutions allocate only a minimal number of credits to language learning.

2. The Role of English for Specific Purposes (ESP)

ESP has emerged as a robust methodological approach tailored to the learning objectives of specific professional domains [4]. Instead of adopting a broad, one-size-fits-all syllabus, ESP courses incorporate technical vocabulary, discipline-specific texts, and communicative tasks drawn directly from students' academic or professional spheres. For instance, an ESP course for engineering students might incorporate tasks that require analyzing technical diagrams, drafting project proposals, or presenting research findings in English. Similarly, medical English courses could focus on interpreting clinical case studies, reading specialized journals, or simulating patient interviews.

3. Curriculum Design Considerations

When designing an ESP curriculum, a needs analysis is a foundational step. This process typically involves surveying both students and faculty members in the target domain to determine which language skills and communicative tasks are most important [5]. The results inform decisions regarding the balance between reading, writing, listening, and speaking skills, as well as the complexity of texts and tasks introduced. Additionally, syllabi should remain flexible to accommodate the evolving landscapes of various professions. Fields such as information technology and biotechnology evolve rapidly, necessitating regular updates to course materials.

4. Blended and Technology-Enhanced Learning

Blended learning, which merges face-to-face instruction with online components, has gained traction as an effective strategy to cater to large and diverse student populations. Online platforms can serve as repositories of discipline-specific articles, video lectures, and discussion forums, enabling students to engage with materials at their own pace [7]. Virtual simulations are particularly valuable in fields requiring hands-on practice, such as medicine or mechanical engineering, allowing students to build discipline-relevant language skills in realistic scenarios. Moreover,

data analytics built into learning platforms can offer instructors insights into students' progress, aiding in timely feedback and personalized guidance[6].

RESULTS:

The integration of these strategies—needs analysis, authentic materials, and blended learning approaches—has been shown to yield meaningful improvements in learner outcomes. In institutions where ESP courses were systematically introduced, students demonstrated a higher level of confidence in professional communication, as measured through performance assessments and self-reported surveys [4]. They also reported greater motivation, attributing it to the clear link between course content and their future professional environments. Moreover, when instructors collaborated closely with faculty from relevant departments, the resulting co-created curricula supported more coherent learning pathways, enabling students to apply language skills directly to assignments and projects in their major courses.

Empirical results highlight that students benefiting from integrated ESP programs display stronger reading and writing proficiency in discipline-specific contexts. For instance, engineering students became adept at interpreting technical documents in English, while medical students reported improved comprehension of international journals crucial for staying updated on medical breakthroughs [1]. The use of technology-enhanced tools further facilitated real-time practice and feedback, helping to cement both linguistic and intercultural competencies [7]. Surveys also indicated that employers appreciate graduates capable of effectively communicating in English and working seamlessly with international partners.

CONCLUSION:

Teaching English to students of non-philological institutions is both a challenge and an opportunity. The inherent diversity in such student populations calls for carefully designed courses that balance core language skills with discipline-

specific needs. ESP stands out as a pedagogical approach that effectively ties language learning to authentic tasks, thereby enhancing motivation and overall proficiency. Needs analyses, collaboration with subject matter experts, and the adoption of blended learning models are all critical to maximizing the impact of English language instruction.

REFERENCES:

1. Council of Europe. Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
2. Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
3. Harmer, J. The Practice of English Language Teaching. Pearson Education Limited, 2012.
4. Belcher, D. J. “Trends in Teaching English for Specific Purposes.” Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, vol. 24, no. 2, 2004, pp. 165–186.
5. Gillett, A. J. "Designing an EAP Syllabus: English Language Support for Further and Higher Education." Journal of English for Academic Purposes, vol. 5, no. 1, 2006, pp. 4–19.
6. Liaw, M.-L. "E-learning and the Development of Intercultural Competence.” Language Learning & Technology, vol. 10, no. 3, 2006, pp. 49–64.
7. Flowerdew, J., & Peacock, M. Research Perspectives on English for Academic Purposes. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
8. Panthangi, R. K., Pant, S., Abbas, H. M., Parpiyeva, U., Saparbaeva, N., Sanduru, B., & Kumar, S. (2024). FEA of GTAW process parameters for dissimilar materials of aluminium alloys. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 563, p. 02005). EDP Sciences.

9. PARPIYEVA, U. (2024). Avtomobil muhandisligi bo'yicha inglizcha atamalarni o'rgatish. *News of the nuuz*, *1*(1.6. 1), 184-186.

10. Xasanovna, P. U., & Usmonova, D. I. (2024). AVTOMOBIL SOHASIGA OID TERMINLAR ETIMIOLOGIYASI. *Science and innovation*, *3*(Special Issue 32), 39-42.